

DINE & DASH ARBITRATION STYLE: WHAT HAPPENS WHEN ONE SIDE DOESN'T PAY THE ARBITRATOR

Publications

01, March 2017

By: Charles G. Miller

Spring 2017 – Ever since Congress enacted the Federal Arbitration Act, it has been the national policy of the United States to favor arbitration. *Southland Corp. v. Keating*, 465 U.S. 1, 10 (1984). Where an agreement contains an arbitration clause, there is little a party can do to resist or avoid arbitration; however, this strong presumption of arbitration may have a small kink that is allowing parties to end up in court, despite the presence of a valid arbitration clause. The Ninth Circuit's recent decision in *Tillman v. Tillman*, 825 F.3d 1069 (9th Cir. 2016), has caused some to question if there is a new way for parties to avoid the power of an arbitration clause: simply refuse to pay a party's share of the arbitrator's fees. [View a pdf copy](#) of the article.

RELATED SERVICES

Litigation