

TECHNOLOGY

Bartko Pavia provides cutting-edge legal services to technology companies at every stage of their growth. With a deep understanding of the fast-paced and continuously evolving tech sector, our attorneys deliver strategic and innovative solutions tailored to the needs of startups, established enterprises, and investors in the technology industry. Whether tech companies are looking for intellectual property, corporate transactions, labor and employment, or litigation representation, we help tech clients protect their innovations, expand their businesses, and resolve critical legal issues. Our dedication to providing forward-thinking solutions ensures that our clients remain focused on what they do best—driving innovation in the global marketplace.

INSIGHTS

PRESS RELEASE | 29, SEPTEMBER 2025

ABA ANTITRUST PLAINTIFFS' ROUNDTABLE WILL FEATURE INSIGHTS FROM IAN PAPENDICK

MEDIA MENTIONS | 20, JUNE 2025

ARKANSAS BUSINESS JOURNAL SPOTLIGHTS \$222.7M TRADE SECRETS WIN

The Arkansas Business Journal recently featured Bartko Pavia LLP's major courtroom victory in the Zest Labs v. Walmart case, where a federal jury awarded Zest Labs \$222.7 million in a trade secrets retrial against the retail giant.

PRESS RELEASE | 14, MAY 2025

BARTKO PAVIA'S PATRICK M. RYAN OBTAINS \$222 MILLION JURY VERDICT AGAINST WALMART

Press Contact

PUBLICATIONS | 10, FEBRUARY 2025

NEW YORK AND DOJ INTRODUCE STRICTER DATA PRIVACY REQUIREMENTS

New York Updates Data Breach Notification Law

MEDIA MENTIONS | 25, OCTOBER 2024

BARTKO LLP FILES MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST WALMART ON BEHALF OF ZEST LABS OVER ALLEGED CONCEALED PATENTS

San Francisco, CA – October 25, 2024 – As featured in Law 360– Patrick Ryan, co-managing partner of Bartko LLP, and a specialist in intellectual property and trade secret litigation, has filed a motion for sanctions against Walmart Inc. on behalf of Zest Labs, Inc. The motion, filed with the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas, accuses Walmart of withholding critical...

PUBLICATIONS | 15, OCTOBER 2024

BARTKO BUNZEL REPORT: THE LINE BETWEEN BIO AND TECHNOLOGY

The question of what the biotechnology industry can patent ripened into a broad industry debate after two landmark Supreme Court decisions – Mayo Collaborative Servs v. Prometheus Labs., Inc. (2012) and Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank International (2014). Their collective holding – that under 35 U.S.C. § 101, inherently natural phenomena cannot be patented, even with the addition of...

SPEAKING ENGAGEMENTS | 12, MAY 2024

C. GRIFFITH TOWLE SPOKE AT A RECENT WEBINAR REGARDING PROVING IRREPARABLE HARM IN LANHAM ACT CASES

C. Griffith Towle spoke at a recent webinar regarding proving irreparable harm in Lanham Act cases after the Supreme Court's decisions in eBay and Winter.

PUBLICATIONS | 07, MAY 2024

BENJAMIN K. RILEY PUBLISHES ARTICLE IN THE LITIGATION MAGAZINE ON TRYING A TRADE SECRET CASE: A ROAD MAP

Trade secret trials challenge and engage all of a trial lawyer's essential skills and training. Dealing with state-of-the-art technology–intricate circuit designs, sophisticated manufacturing processes, or highly complex formulas–is often a huge challenge, but there are other pitfalls for the unaware lawyer. Special care must be given in these cases to issues of disclosure, discovery, the preparati...

MEDIA MENTIONS | 05, FEBRUARY 2024

BARTKO OBTAINS DEFENSE WIN IN UBER TRADE SECRET CASE

San Francisco, CA — The Bartko team, led by Patrick M. Ryan and Sean McTigue, scored a major win in trade secret, tort, and breach of contract case entitled Bama Commercial Leasing LLC v. Uber Technologies, Inc., CGC-19-579763 (S.F. Super. Ct., filed Oct. 3, 2019).

PUBLICATIONS | 30, JANUARY 2024

BEYOND PREDICTION: TECHNOLOGY-ASSISTED REVIEW ENTERS THE LEXICON

Last February, U.S. Magistrate Judge Andrew Peck took American litigation another step into the digital domain: He backed the use of predictive coding, a form of technology-assisted document review, in an employment case. J. Eric Bartko, resource manager for the LTN technology award winning litigation support division of BartkoZankel, calls Peck's ruling neither surprising nor...